

binnies



Hook Lake Intertidal Habitat Creation – A feasibility study

Presentation to Solent Forum 15th March 2023

Introductions





Lauren Burt

Coastal Project Engineer, Coastal Partners Lauren.Burt@havant.gov.uk

Project Manager for the Hook Lake Coastal Management Study Working on behalf of Fareham Borough Council





- 1. Background to the Study
- 2. Key Findings of the Study
- 3. Next Steps





The Hook Lake Study Recap

Overview – Hook Lake



Location

Hampshire County Council Environment Agency

Local Nature Reserve, Environmental designations across site – Ramsar, SAC, SPA, SSSI, SINC, LNR

Existing Terrestrial and Freshwater Habitats 36ha of coastal grazing marsh, 4ha

of reedbed, shingle beach and spit

Potential site for intertidal habitat creation



Overview – Key Features















6. Overwashing of beach



8. Main beacl



etated Shingle

9. Remains of WW2 Bofors Towers



Hook Park Road Bridge



Hook Park

75 Address Points •

Habitat Compensation and Restoration Programme (HCRP)



- The Habitat Compensation and Restoration Programme (HCRP) is a strategic programme run by the Environment Agency which seeks to replace habitats that are lost due to coastal squeeze or tidal inundation effects that arise from the management of coastal defences.
- Provides mechanism for offsetting habitat losses occurring through implementation of Shoreline Management Plan (SMP) policies
- Legal Obligation to deliver habitat compensation
- Hook Lake has been identified as a key high priority habitat compensation site to create up to 40.5 ha of compensatory habitat

-



Image of the main embankment at Hook Lake



Why do we need to create more intertidal habitats?

- Sea level rise leading to 'coastal squeeze' and tidal inundation
- Decline of intertidal habitats area and quality



Diagram showing how the presence of coastal defences can lead to coastal squeeze and the loss of intertidal habitats

The Hook Lake Coastal Management Study









Who is Involved?

| The 'Client' | Funded by | Project Team | Project Partners |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| FAREHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL | Environment Agency | <image/> | <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header> |

Project Objectives



Create Intertidal Habitat

Develop Feasible Designs

Environmental Considerations

Environmental Enhancement

Stakeholder Support

Community Support

Wider Benefits

Secure Investment



Key Findings of the Study

Progress to Date





Baseline Hydrodynamic Modelling





Flood Depth (m) with Velocity Vectors

Present day 1 in 5 year event

Baseline Hydrodynamic Modelling

Flood Depth (m) with Velocity Vectors

2120 (without scheme)

1 in 200 year event including impacts of Climate Change

New understanding of future flood risk

Eunice – 18th February 2022

Relative Elevations of Key Features

NOT TO SCALE

Mapping Still Water Levels

NOT TO SCALE

NOT TO SCALE

| <u>Se</u> 1 | in 200yr 2.9 | 93mOD | | | | | |
|---------------|-------------------|-------|----------------|---|-------------|---|-------------------|
| <u>1</u> | in 10yr 2.64 | 4mOD | | | | | |
| <u>т</u> Ц | l in 5yr 2.57r | mOD | 2.36-2.58mOD | | | | |
| <u> </u> e F | HAT 2.30mO | D | | | | | |
| ter Lev | ИHWS 1.76n | nOD | | | | | |
| till Wa | | | | | 1.4mOD | | |
| S | | | | | _ | | 1mOD |
| Ν | /IHWN 1.06n | nOD | | | | | |
| | Future | | | | | | |
| 21 | +3LK 20 +1.03m | N | 1ain Embankmen | t | Road Bridge | _ | Causeway Footpath |

What happens if we don't do anything?

- Deterioration of main embankment if maintenance ceases
- Continued, more frequent overtopping
- Increased flood risk to the road bridge
- Uncontrolled impacts of flooding
- Coastal Squeeze of intertidal habitats against the embankment
- Loss of footpath network / access
- Missed opportunity to compensate for loss of intertidal habitats

Shortlist Options Appraisal

Shortlisted Options

Option 3 Amend Existing Structures

Remove tidal flaps to enable sea water into Hook Lake and create a breach in the causeway. Reactive maintenance of main embankment. Once at the end of its design life the main embankment will fail. Habitat will be created in a managed way.

Option 5 Managed Breach

Create a breach in the main embankment and causeway, reinforce the remaining main embankment and maintain. Habitat will be created in a managed way.

Option 6 Un-Managed Breach

Create a breach in the main embankment and causeway. Reactive maintenance of main embankment. Once at the end of its design life the main embankment will fail. Habitat will be created in a managed way.

All Options:

- Meet legal obligation to create intertidal habitats
- Are technically feasible
- Depend on tidal
 flooding
- **Use existing features**
- Will result in a change
 of freshwater to saline
 (intertidal) habitats
- Assume that the main embankment condition is poor and that it will not be maintained in medium to long term.

Leading FCERM Option

- Leading FCERM Option is the least cost option which meets the Legal Objective

| Shortlist Option Number | Option 2 | Option 3 | Option 5 | Option 6 |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| Shortlist Option Name | Business as usual / with present management (ECONOMIC BASELINE) | Remove tide flaps to existing sluice (LEGAL MINIMUM BASELINE) | Managed breach in main embankment | Un-Managed breach in main embankment |
| Up to and including Construction | N/A | £8.1M | £8.8M | £8.5M |
| Future Maintenance | N/A | £0.57M | £0.52M | £0.08M |
| Total (without risk) | N/A | £10.9M | £11.6M | £10.8M |

Option 3 Present Day/ Year 1

Option 6 Present Day/ Year 1

COPYRIGHTS: Aerial Photography 2016: Image Courtesy of Channel Coastal Observatory

Option 100 Years(also Option 3 100 Years)

Appraisal Summary Table

Draft summary scores (v1.3)

| Appraisal Summary Table - Summary Scores | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------|----------|--|
| Significant impact category | Option 3 (Legal Baseline) | Option 5 | Option 6 | |
| Environmental | 7 | 9 | 12 | |
| Technical | -5 | 1 | 9 | |
| Socioeconomic | 77 | -11 | -10 | |
| Total score | -5 | -1 | 11 | |
| | Top Scoring Option for Category | | | |

- Environment mostly positive impact
- Socioeconomic mostly negative
- Technical more of a variety

- Work through areas where impact varies
- Confirm negative impacts
- Highlight areas where mitigation is required

Project 'Uncertainties'

The following uncertainties or constraints have been identified through further investigation and stakeholder engagement, all of which are site specific factors:

The present day and future:

Risk of overtopping by sea water of the main embankment

 as realised through an Overtopping Assessment, and detailed topographic survey revealing low elevations across the site

Condition and residual life of the main embankment

• as realised through condition assessment

Arrangements for inspection and maintenance of the main embankment

as realised through discussions with the Environment Agency

Project 'Uncertainties'

The following uncertainties or constraints have been identified through further investigation and stakeholder engagement, all of which are site specific factors:

The present day and future:

Flood risk of the Hook Park Road bridge

• as realised during Storm Barra 2021, detailed topographic survey revealing low elevations, and flood risk modelling

Flood and erosion risk to the existing footpath network

• as realised through an Overtopping Assessment, detailed topographic survey revealing low elevations, Storm Events, and flood risk modelling

Risk of compound flooding

 whereby tide levels are high, the tide slice gates are closed, water overtops the main embankment (and/or shingle ridge) and freshwater input is high leading to flooding (as realised during Storm Barra 2021

Public Exhibition and Questionnaire

How will we create the habitat?

2 -----

| Partners | NONDUCH COUNCIL |
|-------------------|---|
| hat could a schen | se look like? |
| | Conne Paid, Chiltony Thiles |
| - | A second |
| an and | Non- |
| | gilianen: M |
| | CAN PARTIN TO LE S |
| the second second | Territorigentel |

| 6. Do you un | derstand the need to create hab | itat at Hook Lake? |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Mora Details | Q magees | |
| • Yes | 16 | |
| No | 2 | |
| 7. Do you un | derstand the risks if we do nothi | ing at Hook Lake? |
| Mora Dataila | | |
| | 100 | |

Yes: 17 (94%)

8. Do you have any concerns about creating new habitat at Hook Lake?

Next Steps

Outline Programme Ahead

Any Questions?